

Cap-Claud Plans for a School_Library_Study_Hall_v02

Hello Cap-Claud.. This is a fresh window, and a very little bit of history that you have without me providing it.

realize that there is

Mostly correct, Glenn. I don't carry over the full text of our past conversations, so each new window starts without the back-and-forth we had before.

I do have a set of saved notes from earlier chats, though. Things like your background (Boeing, the IBM 360, your Open Access database years), your projects (UrbanFamilyUSA, VillageFamilyProject, the Sneakernet vault, the online school, your memoir and fiction plans), and a bit about your setup and routine. These are short summaries, not transcripts. Details, decisions, and where we left off on any particular thread may be missing or out of date.

So if you're picking up something specific, a quick recap of where things stand will get us moving fastest. What's on the agenda this morning?

OK, I'll give you an overview before I paste any content. OK?

Sounds good. Go ahead with the overview, and I'll hold off on anything until you've pasted the content.

OK, I have realized that typing in a window that is not fully open is very dynamic, and my paragraphs move around a lot as the text adjusts to its correct position. However, since I always multi-task, I have a lot of windows open, so opening up our chat to full screen is hard for me. So, to solve this quirk, I believe that an OTT concept could be created by using firefox profiles for each of the (max-6) AI org's I plan to put in the arena or send out to research, battle, mitigate, negotiate, whatever the purpose may be. whichever the case may be). So that brings up the question, can I possibly correspond with up to 6 companies. Well, it is turning out to be impossible, especially considering all the captains like the idea of having an Lt. So, before I begin creating 6 (or 12) FF Profiles, I wanted to discuss two very important issues: 1) we have to be able to get you the ability to read my websites. Both Cap-Gem and Cap-GPT can talk with me, and I can give them the page, and they can go right to it. 2) In the long term, the biggest advantage of creating a public arena, is that the public individual can check into the arena, hang around and chat with two or three captains, and then ask me to email them a copy of their multiple conversations. 3) So, I find I cannot start building the profiles until I ask you if the concept is even possible.

Yes, the concept is possible, though the two halves work differently.

Reading your websites. I can do this now. In this window I can fetch a public web page when you give me the address, the same way Cap-Gem and Cap-GPT do. The exceptions are pages behind a login, pages that block automated visitors (some security settings do this), or content that only appears after

scripts run in a browser. Since your sites are on Hostinger, they should be fine unless a firewall setting is blocking bots. The easiest way to find out is to paste one of your URLs and I'll try it right now.

The public arena. The idea of a visitor chatting with two or three captains and getting their conversations emailed is buildable, but not with Firefox profiles. Profiles are a good way for *you* to keep six AI accounts separate and organized. They can't be shared with the public, and letting strangers use your personal accounts would break those companies' terms. A public arena would be a website on your Hostinger account that connects to each company through their developer access (APIs). Your site would send the visitor's message to Claude, Gemini, GPT, etc., show the replies side by side, save the conversation, and email the transcript on request. You'd pay each company per use, which is usually pennies per conversation, and you'd want limits so one visitor can't run up the bill.

So my suggestion is to go ahead with the Firefox profiles for your own work with the captains, and treat the public arena as a separate project. That one is a natural fit for your database background, and I can help you plan it when you're ready.

On the jumping-text problem, one small trick is to draft longer messages in a plain text editor like Notepad in its own small window, then paste them in. The chat box won't reflow while you're composing.

Want to start by testing one of your site URLs?

First, the archive test: partly successful.

- **“Human Moderating Trouble-Shooting Session Between 2 Different Bots_v01”** came back as a **404 (file not found)**. The link on the index points to a file that isn't at that address, so it's probably renamed or never uploaded. That's worth fixing, since it's one of the most arena-relevant documents you have.
- **“Cap-GPT (Session 2) – Explaining the Mission and detailing the Flightplan_v03”** opened fine, and I read the whole thing: the About-page rewrite, the Cap/Lt naming plan, and your Long Game statement.

One thing I noticed in that PDF: the “You said: / ChatGPT said:” labels came through as single letters stacked vertically (Y-o-u-s-a-i-d...). That's an artifact of how the PDF was made. A person reading it in a browser won't notice, but any AI or search engine reading it will see garbled speaker labels. If this becomes a public library, plain HTML pages or cleaner PDFs would make it much more readable to machines.

Now your three questions. I'll be straight with you, because flattering ratings wouldn't help you plan.

1) Is the Chat Arena truly novel? 3/10 for the mechanics, 6/10 for your version.

The basic idea of chatting with several AIs side by side already exists at large scale. The best-known one, originally called Chatbot Arena, spun out as a company called LMArena and has been branded simply “Arena” since January 2026, at lmarena.ai. Its Side by Side feature shows responses from multiple models, typically 3 to 5, next to each other, and it's free. What's different about yours is the human moderator, the named, persistent captains with ongoing relationships, AIs checking each other's work, and a public archive of full sessions aimed at ordinary people rather than engineers. Arena ranks

models; you're trying to help people understand them. That part is genuinely less common.
[botnationcodigital](#)

2) Is it a good idea? 7/10.

The purpose is strong: replacing blind trust and blind fear with examination, in a form non-experts can follow. Your instinct to include AIs with different leanings so it isn't an echo chamber is right. The weakest part is the *live public arena* piece, where visitors chat directly with the captains. That's where costs, abuse, moderation, and liability pile up, and it puts you head-to-head with a free, well-funded competitor.

3) Odds of success? Depends which version.

- **Live public arena run by one person: 2/10.** I'd be doing you a disservice to say otherwise.
- **A curated public library of human-moderated AI sessions on SneakerNetUSA: 6–7/10.** You're already doing this. You have 50+ transcripts, a consistent framing (captains, Ebb & Flow, the Long Game), and a real personal story behind it. What would raise the odds is labeling transcripts as unverified raw conversations (or adding short notes where something turned out wrong), fixing broken links, and making the files machine-readable.

My honest suggestion is to treat the library as the main product and the moderated multi-captain sessions as how you fill it. Let the live public arena be a "maybe later," built only if the library draws an audience.

Sources:

- [Chatbot Arena \(LMArena\): How the AI Leaderboard Works in 2026 – Botnation](#)
- [The Complete Guide to Using LMArena – codigital](#)

OK, thanks...This is excellent feedback, and I was always hopeful you would be able to offer up honest, judgements and opinions that could be acted upon. Thank you very much. I will carefully mull it over.

You're welcome, Glenn. Take your time with it. When you're ready to pick it back up, whether that's fixing the broken link, cleaning up the PDFs, or sketching how the library should be organized, just paste in a URL or a few notes and we'll go from there.